Hurld, Kathy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP From:

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=2F3B04131F1145FCB4CCF5B0A64C1AC4-KHURLD]

Sent: 4/10/2018 6:04:20 PM

Frazer, Brian [Frazer.Brian@epa.gov] To:

CC: Groman, Hazel [Groman.Hazel@epa.gov]; Chemerys, Ruth [Chemerys.Ruth@epa.gov]; Baldwin, Andrew

[baldwin.andrew@epa.gov]

Fw: New draft MOA Subject:

Attachments: MOA clean copy Draft 4 update for WDD 20180410.docx; ATT00001.htm

FYI - sending this to you all. This version of the draft MOA does not include Dave's suggestion regarding

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Brian, we had sent an earlier version forward to Dave Ross requesting input. I've heard no feedback so this version does not reflect any potential input he has. I am okay as long as he has no concerns. We will need to get his concurrence on this prior to signature by the RA - likely in July timeframe. Kathy

From: Mcgill, Thomas

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 12:05 PM

To: Calli, Rosemary; Mancusi-Ungaro, Philip; Hicks, Matt; Ghosh, Mita; Parker, Christopher; Hurld, Kathy;

Kupchan, Śimma; Spéir, Jeffrey Subject: Fwd: New draft MOA

FYI, Please see the email below to our RA with the latest draft of the EPA-FDEP MOA for 404 assumption.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Walker, Mary" <walker.mary@epa.gov<mailto:walker.mary@epa.gov>>

Date: April 10, 2018 at 10:27:54 AM EDT
To: "Glenn, Trey" <Glenn.Trey@epa.gov<mailto:Glenn.Trey@epa.gov>>

Cc: "Gettle, Jeaneanne" <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov<mailto:Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov>>, "Ashbee, Blake"

<ashbee.blake@epa.gov<mailto:ashbee.blake@epa.gov>>, "Jenkins, Brandi"
<Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov<mailto:Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov>>, "Mcgill, Thomas"
<Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov<mailto:Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>>, "Rubini, Suzanne"

<Rubini.Suzanne@epa.gov<mailto:Rubini.Suzanne@epa.gov>>

Subject: FW: New draft MOA

Trey,

Attached is a draft copy of the proposed MOA between EPA and FLA regarding 404 program assumption. This document will continue to change on the margins as this process progresses, but at this point it contains the basic provisions we would expect, and I don't expect it to change significantly absent comments from you, David Ross or Matt Leopold. This is being sent to you all so that you can quickly make sure you are comfortable with the bones of the document before we share it with external parties like the Corps, Services and tribes - in our effort to avoid surprises during the 120 day review process.

Please let me know if you have questions or concerns about the document, Mary